

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116** In re Application of **EXPEDITED PROCEDURE** 

Kazuyo SAITO et al.

Customer Number: 20277 Serial No.: 09/616,944

Confirmation Number: 6874

Filed: July 14, 2000 Group Art Unit: 2812

**ELECTROLYTIC CAPACITOR** For: AND ITS MANUFACTURING METHOD:

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116** 

Examiner: Ha T Nguyen

Box AF Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Advisory Action dated August 7, 2003 and the Office Action dated April 21, 2003 having a three-month shortened statutory period for response set to expire on July 21, 2003, and the petition for a two-month extension of time up to and including September 21, 2003 and RCE being filed concurrently herewith, reconsideration of the above-identified application is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

## REMARKS

For the Examiner's convenience, the arguments for patentability of the combination recited in claim 21 set forth in the After-Final amendment filed on June 27, 2003 are reprinted below in section A. In addition, arguments traversing the Examiner's position taken in the Advisory Action dated August 7, 2003 follow in section **B**.